Received 8 July 2005 Accepted 26 July 2005

Online 30 July 2005

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Shi-Yao Yang,^a La-Sheng Long,^a Rong-Bin Huang,^a Lan-Sun Zheng^a and Seik Weng Ng^b*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: seikweng@um.edu.my

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 173 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.045 wR factor = 0.121 Data-to-parameter ratio = 18.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Poly[[bis[(dimethyl sulfoxide)zinc(II)]-diµ₄-benzene-1,4-dicarboxylato] dimethyl sulfoxide pentasolvate]

In the crystal structure of the title compound. $[Zn_2(C_8H_4O_4)_2(C_2H_6OS)_2] \cdot 5C_2H_6OS,$ four independent carboxylate units of the 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate groups chelate to two Zn atoms to give rise to -O-C-O- $Zn \cdots Zn - rings$, the four O atoms around each zinc atom forming a square, above which is a dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) molecule and below which is the other Zn atom. The bridging mode of the 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate groups, one of which lies on a general position and the other two on inversion centers, leads to a layer structure having DMSO molecules between the layers. The uncoordinated solvent molecules account for about 80% of the volume of the unit cell.

Comment

The product of the hydrothermal reaction of a zinc salt and sodium 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate is a polymeric watercoordinated zinc 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate (Yang et al., 2001). Under non-hydrothermal conditions, a solvent such as dimethylformamide (DMF) must be used to dissolve the reactants; however, the use of DMF leads to a co-crystal of this compound with sodium 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate. DMF is also incorporated into the crystal structure (Yang et al., 2002). A study on the crystallization of zinc 1,4-benzenedicarboxylates has also reported that the DMF adduct absorbs small molecules (Edgar et al., 2001) so that these compounds can be classified as open framework compounds (Li et al., 1998). The present synthesis uses dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as solvent in place of DMF and is an extension of the study on tris-(1,4-benzenedicarboxylato)tetrakis(dimethyl sulfoxide)dihydroxotetrazinc(II) (Wang et al., 2001).



In the crystal structure of the title compound, (I) (Fig. 1), one of the 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate groups lies on a general position and two lie on centers of inversion. The four independent carboxylate units of these groups chelate to the two Zn atoms to form an $-O-C-O-Zn\cdots Zn - \text{ring} [Zn\cdots Zn$

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved





ORTEPII plot (Johnson, 1976) of the polymeric title structure. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 70% probability level and H atoms as spheres of arbitrary radii. The uncoordinated DMSO molecules are not shown. [Symmetry code: (i) x, 1 + y, z.]



Figure 2

ORTEPII plot (Johnson, 1976) of the layer structure of (I). The uncoordinated DMSO molecules and the second disorder component are not shown.

= 2.9473 (4) Å]. The four O atoms around each Zn atom form a square above which is a DMSO molecule; if the other Zn atom below is not considered to be bonded, then the geometry is square pyramidal. As the axes of the two rigid 1,4benzenedicarboxylate groups are aligned at approximately 90° to each other, the polymeric structure has a layer formation. The uncoordinated DMSO molecules occupy the space between the layers, and some also protrude into the layers. According to PLATON (Spek, 2003), the ordered and disordered uncoordinated solvent molecules account for about 80% of the volume of the unit-cell contents. This feature explains the ready loss of solvent when the crystals are exposed to air.

There is a small number of structurally authenticated examples of tetrakis(μ_2 -carboxylato)bis[(N-heterocyclezinc] adducts, e.g. tetrakis(μ_2 -acetato)bis(pyridinezinc) (Singh et al., 1997); however, the O-donor adducts appear to be limited to 1-(4-chlorobenzoyl)-5-methoxy-2-methyl-1H-indole-3the

acetate adducts with N,N-dimethylacetamide and 1-methylpyrrolidinone only (Zhou et al., 2000). The title compound is another example of such an O-donor adduct, but its structure is exceptional in view of the extremely large space occupied by solvent molecules.

Experimental

The title compound was obtained by using a layer diffusion method. Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (0.059 g, 0.20 mmol) and 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid (0.033 g, 0.20 mmol) were dissolved in DMSO (5 ml) and the solution was placed in a test tube. Tributylamine (0.037 g, 0.20 mmol) dissolved in DMSO (5 ml) was added so as not to agitate the bottom layer. The tube was covered and set aside for a month. Colorless needle-shaped crystals formed on the walls of the tube.

Crystal data

 $[Zn_2(C_8H_4O_4)_2(C_2H_6OS)_2]$ --Z = 25C₂H₆OS $D_x = 1.491 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $M_r = 1005.86$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ Cell parameters from 7024 a = 10.4449 (4) Å reflections b = 10.9120 (5) Å $\theta = 2.4 - 28.1^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.46~\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ c = 20.9707 (9) Å $\alpha = 81.311(1)^{\circ}$ T = 173 (2) K $\beta = 80.278 (1)^{\circ}$ Column, colorless $= 73.004 (1)^{\circ}$ $0.40 \times 0.33 \times 0.27 \text{ mm}$ V = 2239.8 (2) Å³

Data collection

Bruker APEX area-detector	9977 independent reflections
diffractometer	9104 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
ω and ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.020$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2002)	$h = -13 \rightarrow 13$
$T_{\min} = 0.546, T_{\max} = 0.674$	$k = -13 \rightarrow 13$
5259 measured reflections	$l = -26 \rightarrow 27$

Refinement

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.067P)^2]$
+ 4.2336P]
where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.47 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.95 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Zn1-O1	2.018 (2)	Zn2-O2	2.044 (2)
Zn1-O3 ⁱ	2.036 (2)	$Zn2-O4^{i}$	2.056 (2)
Zn1-O5	2.040 (2)	Zn2-O6	2.012 (2)
Zn1-O7	2.051 (2)	Zn2-O8	2.029 (2)
Zn1-O10	1.970 (2)	Zn2–O9	1.981 (2)
$01 - 7n1 - 03^{i}$	165 5 (1)	$\Omega^2 = 7n^2 = \Omega^{4i}$	1527(1)
O1 - Zn1 - O5	89.6 (1)	O2-Zn2-O6	88.9 (1)
O1-Zn1-O7	87.2 (1)	O2-Zn2-O8	90.1 (1)
O1-Zn1-O10	98.0 (1)	O2-Zn2-O9	105.2 (1)
O3 ⁱ -Zn1-O5	89.6 (1)	$O4^i - Zn2 - O6$	87.8 (1)
O3 ⁱ -Zn1-O7	86.8 (1)	O4 ⁱ -Zn2-O8	86.7 (1)
O3 ⁱ -Zn1-O10	96.0 (1)	$O4^{i}$ -Zn2-O9	102.1 (1)
O5-Zn1-O7	152.1 (1)	O6-Zn2-O8	165.8 (1)
O5-Zn1-O10	107.0 (1)	O6-Zn2-O9	98.0 (1)
O7-Zn1-O10	100.8 (1)	O8-Zn2-O9	96.0 (1)

Symmetry code: (i) x, y + 1, z.

The structure is disordered in four of the seven DMSO molecules. the four being the molecules having atoms S2, S3, S4 and S5. One of the two DMSO molecules that are engaged in coordination is also disordered. These four are disordered over two positions each, such that the molecule shares its O and two C atoms; one sulfur component lies above and the other below the C-C-O triangle. For the four, the S–O distances were restrained to 1.50(1) Å and the S–C distances to 1.81 (1) Å. The displacements of the minor (primed) S atoms were restrained to be nearly isotropic. The occupancies of the major components refined to S2 0.88 (1), S3 0.63 (1), S4 0.91 (1) and S5 0.94 (1). The final difference Fourier map had a peak of 1.5 $e \text{ Å}^{-3}$ at about 1 Å from atom S6, but an attempt to refine the peak as a disorder component did not lead to any meaningful outcome. The disorder also affected the aromatic rings and the C-C distances in the rings were restrained to 1.39 (1) Å. H atoms were placed at calculated positions $[C-H = 0.95 \text{ Å} \text{ and } U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for the aromatic H atoms, and C-H = 0.98 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for the alphatic H atoms] and included in the refinement in the ridingmodel approximation.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2002); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2002); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 20471049, 20271044, 20273052 and 20021002), Xiamen University and the University of Malaya for supporting this work.

References

- Bruker (2002). SADABS, SAINT and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Edgar, M., Mitchell, R., Slawin, A. M. Z., Lightfoot, P. & Wright, P. A. (2001). Chem. Eur. J. pp. 5168–5175.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Li, H.-L., Eddaoudi, M., Groy, T. L. & Yaghi, O. M. (1998). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120, 8571–8572.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Singh, B., Long, J. R., de Biani, F. F., Gatteschi, D. & Stavropoulos, P. (1997). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119, 7030–7047.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Wang, R.-H., Hong, M.-C., Liang, Y.-C. & Cao, R. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, m277–m279.
- Yang, S.-Y., Long, L.-S., Huang, R.-B. & Zheng, L.-S. (2001). Main Group Met. Chem. 25, 329–330.
- Yang, S.-Y., Sun, Z.-G., Long, L.-S., Huang, R.-B. & Zheng, L.-S. (2002). Main Group Met. Chem. 25, 579–580.
- Zhou, Q.-D., Hambley, T. W., Kennedy, B. J., Lay, P. A., Warwick, B., Biffin, J. R. & Regtop, H. L. (2000). *Inorg. Chem.* **39**, 3742–3748.